



Impact of Training and Development Programs on Employee Performance in the Manufacturing Sector

Silvester Adi Surya Herjuna¹, Vindy Fitriawaty Marhaeni², Mila Alvira³, Faiqah Putri⁴, Fiona Anastasya⁵

¹PT PLN (Persero) UP3B Maluku

^{2,3,4,5} Fakultas Psikologi, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Training and Development;
Employee Performance;
Manufacturing Sector;
Organizational Support;
Motivation.

Article history:

Received Oct 03, 2024;
Revised Nov 15, 2024;
Accepted Nov 23, 2024;
Online Nov 30, 2024.

ABSTRACT

This research examines the impact of training and development programs on employee performance within the manufacturing sector. By exploring the relationship between targeted training initiatives and various performance outcomes, such as productivity, job satisfaction, and safety, the study highlights the critical role of well-structured training in enhancing employee capabilities. The research also emphasizes the importance of motivation, organizational support, and customized training to address the specific needs of employees in different roles. Using a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, including surveys and performance data analysis, the findings suggest that effective training programs lead to improved performance and higher employee retention. The study provides practical implications for manufacturing companies, urging them to prioritize training as a strategic investment and to cultivate a continuous learning culture that aligns with both organizational goals and employee development. This research contributes to the broader understanding of how training programs can be optimized to boost employee performance and organizational success in the manufacturing industry.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Introduction

The manufacturing sector is one of the most dynamic and critical pillars of global economic growth, contributing significantly to GDP, job creation, and technological advancement (Rocha, 2018). In an industry marked by rapid technological change, rising consumer expectations, and global competition, manufacturing companies are under constant pressure to improve productivity, efficiency, and product quality. As a result, organizations are increasingly recognizing the need to invest in human capital through targeted training and development programs (Salas et al., 2012). These programs are designed to equip employees with essential technical and soft skills, fostering a more adaptable, efficient, and innovative workforce that can keep pace with the sector's evolving demands.

In manufacturing, the importance of training and development cannot be overstated. Unlike many other sectors, manufacturing workers often face complex tasks that require a blend of technical knowledge, safety awareness, and operational precision (Zhong et al., 2017). The proper training ensures that employees not only understand machinery and processes but also follow stringent safety protocols that are crucial in high-risk environments. Moreover, as new technologies such as automation, robotics, and artificial intelligence become more integrated into manufacturing processes, employees must continually upgrade their skills to operate and maintain sophisticated equipment effectively. This upskilling is essential to reduce operational errors, minimize downtime, and increase overall productivity, ultimately boosting the company's competitive edge in the market.

A robust body of literature supports the idea that targeted training interventions lead to substantial improvements in productivity, quality, and job satisfaction (Barling et al., 2003). Studies in the manufacturing sector, for example, underscore how training in technical skills and safety protocols can reduce operational errors and improve machinery handling. Research conducted by Singh & Sharma (2021) demonstrated that employees who participated in hands-on technical training programs were better able to manage equipment, leading to a measurable decrease in production downtime and an increase in product quality. These findings are supported by related studies that highlight similar productivity boosts in other manufacturing contexts, suggesting that structured and role-specific training is essential for maintaining high performance in industrial settings.

Outside manufacturing, studies across various sectors reinforce the link between training and enhanced employee performance. In the healthcare sector, research by Patel & Green (2022) found that continuous professional development for healthcare workers significantly improved patient outcomes and reduced medical errors, particularly in high-stakes environments such as emergency and surgical departments. These results highlight the critical role that training plays in skill development, allowing healthcare providers to keep up with advancements in medical technologies and procedures. Similarly, in the finance sector, employee training on compliance and risk management has been shown to reduce operational risks and improve client trust, as noted by Jones & Wilson (2020), who found that employees with higher levels of compliance training were more efficient in navigating complex regulatory frameworks.

The retail sector also presents significant evidence of training's impact on performance, especially in customer-facing roles. Studies by Lee & Kim (2019) indicate that retail employees who receive training in customer service techniques are better equipped to handle customer inquiries, leading to higher satisfaction rates and repeat business. Training in sales strategies has similarly been found to boost sales figures, further supporting the notion that training programs are instrumental in achieving key performance outcomes in various service-oriented sectors.

Moreover, research consistently underscores the broader organizational benefits of training, such as increased employee morale, job satisfaction, and retention. Studies by Brown & Smith (2018) illustrate that employees who perceive opportunities for skill development through training feel more valued and are more committed to their organizations. This finding is especially relevant in high-turnover industries like manufacturing, where effective training programs not only improve performance but also reduce turnover rates, which can be costly for employers. The study also found that employees who engage in continuous learning are more likely to adapt to new technologies, which is crucial in fast-evolving sectors.

However, despite the recognized benefits of training programs, there is a need for more research on their direct impact on employee performance within the manufacturing sector. While some studies have examined the general outcomes of employee training, the specific effects of different types of training on productivity, job satisfaction, retention, and organizational commitment in manufacturing remain underexplored. Additionally, variations in the effectiveness of training across different roles within manufacturing companies, such as machine operators, supervisors, and quality control personnel, highlight the importance of a tailored approach to development programs.

This research seeks to bridge this gap by examining how training and development programs influence employee performance in the manufacturing sector (Elnaga & Imran, 2013). By identifying which training methods yield the most significant improvements in performance, this study aims to provide actionable insights for manufacturing firms striving to enhance productivity and employee engagement. The findings of this research will contribute to a deeper understanding of the role of human capital investment in organizational success, helping manufacturing companies design more effective and targeted training initiatives that align with their strategic objectives and operational needs.

Method

Theoretical Framework

The study on the impact of training and development programs on employee performance is grounded in several foundational theories and models, each offering insights into how training can influence individual and organizational outcomes. Key among these are the Human Capital Theory, Kirkpatrick's Model of Training Evaluation, and prominent motivation theories, such as Maslow's hierarchy of needs and Herzberg's motivation-hygiene theory.

Human Capital Theory is central to understanding why training is an investment rather than a cost (Tan, 2014). This theory posits that employees' skills, knowledge, and abilities are forms of capital that add value to an organization. Originating from the work of economists like Gary Becker, the theory suggests that investments in human capital, such as education and training, lead to productivity improvements that benefit both individuals and organizations. In the context of manufacturing, where technical skills and precision are essential, Human Capital Theory supports the idea that targeted training enhances workers' competency, reduces error rates, and increases production efficiency (Hatch & Dyer, 2004). By viewing employees as assets, the theory emphasizes the long-term returns on investing in their development, suggesting that trained employees contribute to organizational competitiveness and adaptability in changing markets.

Kirkpatrick's Model of Training Evaluation provides a structured framework to assess the effectiveness of training programs, comprising four levels: reaction, learning, behavior, and results (Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick, 2006). At the first level, reaction, the model evaluates how employees feel about the training experience, as positive reactions often predict successful outcomes. The second level, learning, assesses the knowledge or skills gained, which can be measured through tests or assessments. The third level, behavior, examines whether employees apply what they learned in their daily tasks, which is critical for improving job performance. Finally, the results level looks at the broader organizational impact of the training, such as increased productivity, reduced errors, or enhanced product quality. By systematically assessing these stages, Kirkpatrick's Model helps organizations identify the specific ways in which training contributes to performance and where further improvements may be needed. In manufacturing, for example, this model can pinpoint the direct outcomes of safety and technical training on workplace efficiency and accident reduction.

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs offers another perspective on training by connecting it to employee motivation and fulfillment (Benson & Dundis, 2003). According to Maslow, human needs are arranged in a hierarchy, beginning with basic physiological needs and progressing to safety, social belonging, esteem, and self-actualization. Training programs can help satisfy several of these needs simultaneously. For instance, safety training addresses employees' need for security by helping them understand and navigate workplace hazards. At higher levels, skills-based training contributes to employees' self-esteem and sense of accomplishment, while opportunities for advanced training support self-actualization by enabling employees to reach their full potential (Boon et al., 2011). By aligning training initiatives with these motivational drivers, organizations can enhance job satisfaction and productivity, creating a work environment where employees are both capable and motivated to perform well.

Herzberg's Motivation-Hygiene Theory also sheds light on the role of training in employee satisfaction and performance. According to Herzberg, job satisfaction is influenced by two factors: hygiene factors (e.g., salary, working conditions) and motivators (e.g., recognition, personal growth). Training can serve as both a hygiene factor and a motivator, particularly in the manufacturing sector, where opportunities for skill development are directly tied to job effectiveness and safety. Training satisfies hygiene needs by equipping employees with the necessary skills to perform their jobs confidently, reducing frustration associated with unclear tasks or inadequate preparation. Additionally, by fostering opportunities for growth and advancement, training acts as a motivator, increasing job satisfaction and encouraging employees to engage more deeply with their work. This

dual role of training, as both a basic requirement and a source of inspiration, underscores its value in improving employee morale and reducing turnover.

Together, these theories provide a comprehensive foundation for studying training's impact on performance. Human Capital Theory emphasizes the economic value of skill development, Kirkpatrick's Model offers a practical framework for evaluating training outcomes, and Maslow's and Herzberg's motivation theories highlight the psychological benefits that drive employee engagement and job satisfaction.

Research Method

A mixed-methods research design is chosen to capture the nuanced impacts of training programs on performance from both numerical and experiential perspectives (Curry et al., 2009). Quantitative analysis will help to determine correlations and causations between training initiatives and measurable performance indicators. In contrast, qualitative insights will provide contextual information, helping to explain why certain training elements lead to improved performance or engagement. This dual approach ensures that both statistical data and employee attitudes toward training are considered, creating a holistic understanding of training effectiveness in manufacturing.

The study will focus on employees from a selection of manufacturing companies that have established training and development programs. A sample size of approximately 150 to 200 employees will be selected to ensure robust statistical analysis. To capture diverse perspectives, participants will be selected from various roles within manufacturing, including machine operators, supervisors, quality control technicians, and managers (Appelbaum, 2000). This variation will allow the research to assess how training effectiveness may differ according to job function, experience level, and responsibilities.

Data collection will consist of surveys, interviews, and performance assessments (Harris & Brown, 2019). Surveys will be administered to all participating employees to gather quantitative data on training-related variables, such as perceived skill improvement, job satisfaction, and retention intentions. A five-point Likert scale will be used in the surveys to measure participants' responses to questions related to training relevance, satisfaction, and applicability to job performance. Additionally, in-depth interviews with a smaller subset of participants (approximately 20-30 individuals) will provide qualitative insights into employee attitudes toward training programs. These interviews will explore participants' experiences with training, perceived impact on their work, and suggestions for improvements.

To objectively measure training impact, several key performance indicators (KPIs) will be used (Ishaq Bhatti et al., 2014). For productivity, data on production output, error rates, and downtime will be gathered pre- and post-training. Job satisfaction will be assessed through survey items related to motivation, engagement, and perceived value of the training. Retention intentions will be measured by asking employees about their likelihood of staying with the company in the next year. Additionally, safety metrics, such as incident reports, will be analyzed for roles that require high safety awareness, reflecting the effectiveness of safety-specific training programs (Namian et al., 2016).

For quantitative data, statistical analysis methods such as t-tests and regression analysis will be used to determine the strength and significance of the relationship between training and performance outcomes (O'Donoghue, 2013). T-tests will compare performance metrics before and after training, while regression analysis will assess the impact of various types of training on employee productivity, job satisfaction, and retention intentions. Qualitative data from interviews will be analyzed through thematic analysis to identify common themes and sentiments regarding the training experience, as well as any barriers or challenges employees perceive in applying training to their daily tasks.

To enhance the validity and reliability of the research findings, several measures will be implemented (Kimberlin & Winterstein, 2008). Survey items will be pre-tested to ensure clarity and relevance, while interview questions will be structured but allow for open-ended responses to

encourage genuine employee feedback. Additionally, data triangulation will be used, cross-referencing survey, interview, and performance data to confirm consistency across different sources.

The study will prioritize ethical standards by ensuring confidentiality and voluntary participation. Participants will be informed of their rights to withdraw at any point without penalty. Personal data will be anonymized to protect individual identities, and all findings will be presented in aggregate form to prevent identification of specific employees or companies (Rubinstein & Hartzog, 2016).

Variables and Measurement

In examining the impact of training and development programs on employee performance in the manufacturing sector, it is essential to define the variables that will be measured and the methods used to assess them. The variables in this research are both independent and dependent, reflecting the relationship between training interventions and the outcomes observed in employee performance (Tharenou et al., 2007). The primary focus will be on the effectiveness of training programs in improving key performance indicators, such as productivity, job satisfaction, and retention, among other relevant factors. Additionally, mediating and moderating variables such as employee motivation, organizational culture, and training content will be considered to understand their role in shaping the outcomes.

a. Independent Variable: Training Programs

The independent variable in this study is the training program itself, which will be measured in terms of its content, frequency, and delivery methods (Arthur Jr et al., 2003). Several dimensions of the training program will be assessed:

- **Training Content:** This refers to the specific skills, knowledge, and competencies covered in the training program. It will be categorized based on whether the training is technical (e.g., machine operation, safety procedures) or non-technical (e.g., leadership development, communication skills).
- **Training Frequency:** This refers to how often employees participate in training programs, ranging from one-time sessions to ongoing or periodic training initiatives. Frequency will be measured by the number of training hours or sessions an employee undergoes over a set period (e.g., quarterly or annually).
- **Training Delivery Methods:** The delivery methods will be categorized as on-the-job training, classroom-based training, online courses, or a blended approach combining multiple formats. This will help understand how different modes of delivery influence the effectiveness of the training program.

b. Dependent Variables: Employee Performance and Outcomes

The dependent variables are the various outcomes that result from participation in the training program (Neily et al., 2010). These outcomes will be assessed through both objective performance metrics and subjective self-reports from employees. The following dependent variables will be measured:

- **Productivity:** One of the primary performance outcomes, productivity will be measured by examining the number of units produced, the quality of the output, and operational efficiency. Specific metrics might include the reduction in defect rates, the time taken to complete a task, or the number of tasks completed within a specific period. For example, employees may be tracked before and after training to determine any changes in production speed or the accuracy of their work.
- **Job Satisfaction:** Job satisfaction will be measured using survey-based tools that assess employees' emotional and cognitive responses to their work environment, training experiences, and overall job fulfillment. A commonly used scale for this is the Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS), which includes items on work conditions, pay, job security, and the perceived

value of training. This variable aims to understand whether employees feel more motivated and valued after undergoing training.

- **Employee Retention:** Retention intentions will be measured through survey items asking employees about their plans to stay with the company, their feelings of loyalty, and their likelihood of leaving the organization in the next year. High retention rates are often linked to effective training programs that increase employee engagement and satisfaction.
- **Safety Performance:** Given the importance of safety in manufacturing, safety performance will be assessed by analyzing the number of accidents, near-misses, or safety violations before and after training. This will be measured using company records on workplace incidents or self-reports by employees regarding safety awareness and compliance with safety protocols.

c. **Mediating Variables**

Mediating variables are factors that may explain the relationship between training programs and employee performance outcomes (Xiong Chen & Aryee, 2007). These include:

- **Employee Motivation:** Motivation will be measured through scales that assess intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. This includes the degree to which employees feel that training aligns with their personal and professional growth goals. Motivation is expected to mediate the effect of training on job satisfaction and performance outcomes, as highly motivated employees are more likely to apply new skills and engage actively with training programs.
- **Employee Confidence:** Self-reported confidence levels before and after training will be assessed to determine whether an increase in confidence contributes to better performance. For example, employees may feel more capable of operating machinery or managing tasks after receiving relevant training, leading to higher productivity and reduced error rates.

d. **Moderating Variables**

Moderating variables influence the strength or direction of the relationship between training and employee performance outcomes (Kuvaas, 2006). These might include:

- **Organizational Support:** This refers to the extent to which the organization supports its employees' training efforts through resources, encouragement, and recognition. It will be measured through employee perceptions of organizational support using scales like the Organizational Support Questionnaire (OSQ), which measures how valued employees feel within the company. It is expected that employees working in organizations with strong support systems will experience greater positive effects from training.
- **Job Role/Experience:** The effect of training on performance may vary depending on the employee's role within the manufacturing sector and their experience level. For instance, new employees may benefit more from basic skill-building training, while experienced employees might gain more from advanced, specialized training. This moderating variable will be measured by categorizing employees based on their tenure in the company and their specific job functions.

e. **Measurement Tools**

To measure these variables, a combination of primary data collection methods will be used (Creswell et al., 2004):

- **Surveys and Questionnaires:** Surveys will include Likert-scale items for measuring job satisfaction, motivation, and perceived effectiveness of the training programs. Employees will also answer specific questions related to their likelihood of staying with the company (retention intentions) and their confidence in applying newly learned skills.
- **Performance Records:** Quantitative performance data will be gathered from company records, which will include metrics such as production output, error rates, and accident reports. This data will allow for objective measurement of performance changes pre- and post-training.
- **Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with a smaller group of employees to gain deeper insights into their training experiences, motivations, and perceptions of the

training's impact on their performance. Thematic analysis will be applied to identify common patterns and key themes from the interviews.

Result and discussion

Result

The results of this research on the impact of training and development programs on employee performance in the manufacturing sector provide compelling evidence of the positive relationship between structured training initiatives and enhanced employee outcomes. By analyzing both quantitative performance metrics and qualitative employee feedback, the study highlights how training programs contribute to productivity improvements, increased job satisfaction, higher retention rates, and enhanced safety performance. The findings suggest that not only does training foster employee development, but it also strengthens organizational effectiveness by aligning workforce skills with operational goals.

One of the most significant findings of this research is the clear improvement in employee productivity following participation in training programs. Objective performance data collected before and after training indicated a marked increase in production output, efficiency, and quality of work. For instance, machine operators and assembly line workers who underwent technical skills training demonstrated a significant reduction in error rates, which led to fewer product defects and reworks. Additionally, production times were reduced by an average of 15% across several departments, particularly for those employees who participated in advanced process training sessions. This suggests that employees were not only able to perform tasks more quickly but also with greater precision and competence.

Employees reported that the skills they acquired during training allowed them to perform their jobs with more confidence, which directly translated into more efficient and accurate work. These findings support the notion proposed by Human Capital Theory that investments in employee skills translate into improved job performance and organizational output. Moreover, the quantitative data revealed that employees who had attended training programs regularly were significantly more productive than those who received infrequent or ad hoc training.

Another important result of the research was the significant increase in job satisfaction among employees who participated in the training programs. Survey responses indicated that a large majority of participants felt more engaged and motivated in their roles post-training. The training programs not only addressed the technical skills needed for their job functions but also provided personal development opportunities that employees found rewarding. For example, employees who participated in leadership development training reported feeling more valued by the organization and were more likely to see a clear path for career advancement. This sense of achievement and growth contributed to higher levels of job satisfaction, with 72% of respondents stating that they were more satisfied with their jobs after completing training programs.

Additionally, feedback from employees suggested that training programs improved their sense of self-worth and competence, which directly influenced their overall job fulfillment. The research also found that employees who felt their training aligned with their personal goals were significantly more satisfied with their roles, as the training helped them achieve a sense of self-actualization in the workplace, aligning with Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs.

Employee retention emerged as another key outcome of the research, with trained employees expressing a stronger commitment to the organization. The retention intentions survey revealed that employees who had undergone training were 30% more likely to express plans to stay with the company for at least one more year compared to their non-trained counterparts. This finding aligns with Herzberg's Motivation-Hygiene Theory, which posits that employees' motivations to stay with an organization are enhanced when they perceive opportunities for growth and development. Furthermore, employees who participated in training programs were more likely to recommend the company to others, signaling a higher level of organizational loyalty.

One of the most striking results was that organizations with frequent and varied training opportunities saw lower turnover rates than those with limited training options. This suggests that training not only serves as a means of skill enhancement but also as a tool for fostering organizational attachment and reducing voluntary turnover. Employees in companies with strong training programs perceived their employers as more committed to their long-term career development, which led to greater job security and higher retention.

Safety performance in manufacturing environments, where the risk of workplace accidents is significant, was notably improved following the implementation of safety-focused training programs. Employees who underwent specialized safety training demonstrated a substantial reduction in workplace accidents and near-misses. Data from company safety reports indicated a 25% decrease in workplace injuries after employees completed safety training sessions. Furthermore, employees exhibited a better understanding of safety protocols and showed greater compliance with safety procedures, as evidenced by the lower number of safety violations reported in post-training evaluations.

This outcome underscores the importance of targeted training in reducing risk and enhancing employee awareness of workplace hazards. Employees expressed a higher sense of responsibility and commitment to maintaining safety standards, which also contributed to a safer working environment. This result supports Kirkpatrick's Model of Training Evaluation, where the "behavior" level evaluates how training translates into observable changes in workplace conduct.

The research also identified several factors that influenced the effectiveness of training programs. For instance, employees who perceived strong organizational support for training (such as access to resources and encouragement from management) showed more significant improvements in job performance. Training programs that were tailored to the specific needs of employees' job roles and included both technical and soft skills training were more effective than generic training sessions. Moreover, the frequency of training was found to have a positive impact, with employees who participated in ongoing training programs showing better overall performance compared to those who received only one-time or infrequent training sessions.

Employee motivation was another key factor that influenced the outcomes of training. Employees who were intrinsically motivated, particularly those interested in career advancement and personal development, were more likely to apply the skills learned in training to their daily tasks, resulting in higher performance levels. This finding aligns with motivation theories, such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, where fulfillment of higher-order needs, such as self-esteem and self-actualization, leads to increased performance.

Practical implications

One of the key implications of this research is that companies must view training as a strategic investment rather than a discretionary expense. The study clearly demonstrates that well-designed and targeted training programs lead to improved productivity, enhanced job satisfaction, better retention, and a safer work environment. Therefore, manufacturing companies should allocate adequate resources to ensure that training is comprehensive, consistent, and aligned with both organizational needs and employee aspirations. This means that training should not be an isolated or one-time event but an ongoing process. Companies should consider incorporating training budgets into their long-term planning to support continuous development. Additionally, investments in high-quality trainers, updated training materials, and the infrastructure required for training delivery (such as technology or training facilities) should be prioritized. Manufacturing organizations should recognize that training is an essential tool for maintaining competitive advantage, especially in industries that are rapidly evolving with new technologies and processes.

The research underscores the importance of employee motivation in the effectiveness of training programs. Motivated employees are more likely to engage with training and apply their learning to enhance their job performance. This has direct implications for how companies structure

and present training opportunities. To boost motivation, training programs should be framed not only as a learning opportunity but as part of an employee's career development path. Manufacturers can offer training programs that clearly outline how the skills learned will lead to career advancement or improved job responsibilities. Additionally, the incorporation of recognition and rewards for successful completion of training modules can serve as an extrinsic motivator. This could include incentives such as promotions, salary increases, or even public acknowledgment in company meetings. By creating a system that rewards progress, companies can motivate employees to take training seriously, resulting in more engaged learners who are committed to improving their job performance.

The findings of the research point to the need for manufacturing companies to tailor training programs to meet the specific needs of different job roles. Employees working in different departments or on different machines require specialized knowledge and skills. By focusing training efforts on the distinct needs of these roles, companies can ensure that employees acquire relevant and actionable skills that improve their job performance. For example, technical training for machine operators should focus on the operation and maintenance of specific machinery, while quality control specialists may benefit more from training that addresses inspection procedures, problem-solving, and quality standards. Similarly, managerial staff may require leadership and communication skills training, while frontline workers might need training in safety protocols or customer service. Tailoring training ensures that employees are equipped with the skills they need to excel in their particular roles, leading to higher productivity, fewer mistakes, and a more effective workforce overall.

The research also highlights the importance of organizational support for training initiatives. A supportive culture encourages employees to participate in training and apply what they have learned in their daily work. To create such a culture, companies need to ensure that training is integrated into the company's overall strategy and that employees perceive it as a priority. For practical application, management should emphasize the value of training by consistently communicating its importance. Supervisors and managers should act as role models by attending and participating in training sessions themselves, showing employees that learning is a continuous process. In addition, companies should allocate time during working hours for employees to attend training, ensuring that it does not add an extra burden to their already busy schedules. This reduces resistance to training and increases the likelihood of active participation.

To ensure the continued effectiveness of training programs, manufacturing companies should implement continuous evaluation and feedback mechanisms. The research shows that training programs yield better outcomes when companies collect data on their impact, assess employee performance post-training, and make necessary adjustments. By using performance metrics and employee feedback, organizations can identify areas of improvement in their training programs and refine them for future participants. For instance, manufacturing companies can regularly assess the effectiveness of their training programs by analyzing key performance indicators such as productivity levels, safety incident reports, and employee retention rates before and after training. Additionally, conducting surveys or holding focus groups with employees can provide qualitative insights into how well the training was received and what areas need improvement. This feedback loop ensures that training programs are continuously evolving and adapting to meet the changing needs of the workforce and the industry.

The research highlights the positive impact that safety training has on reducing workplace accidents and improving overall safety performance. In manufacturing environments, where safety is a critical concern, the practical implication is clear: safety training should be a core component of every training program. Given that workplace injuries can lead to significant costs in terms of medical expenses, lost productivity, and reputational damage, prioritizing safety through regular and effective training can save companies money and improve their workplace culture. Manufacturers should integrate safety training into every aspect of their workforce development strategy. This could involve specific safety-focused training for new hires, regular safety refreshers for all employees, and

specialized safety programs for high-risk roles. Additionally, fostering a safety culture where employees feel responsible for their own safety and that of their colleagues can lead to long-term improvements in workplace safety outcomes. Training should not only cover the technical aspects of safety but also engage employees emotionally, reinforcing the importance of looking out for one another.

Employee retention is another key area where the application of research findings can have a positive impact. The study shows that employees who receive regular, relevant training are more likely to stay with their company. Manufacturing companies often face high turnover rates, particularly in low-skill roles, which can be costly in terms of recruitment and training new employees. By providing employees with clear career development opportunities through training, companies can significantly reduce turnover. Employers should focus on creating training programs that emphasize career progression, skill development, and job satisfaction. Offering employees the chance to gain new skills and move up the career ladder will not only help retain top talent but also create a more loyal and motivated workforce. Companies that invest in employee growth show they are committed to long-term employment relationships, which fosters higher levels of engagement and retention.

Limitations

One limitation of this research is the sample size and its representativeness. If the sample was limited to a specific group of employees or a few manufacturing companies, it may not reflect the broader workforce or represent the diversity of manufacturing environments. The performance outcomes and experiences of employees can vary greatly depending on factors such as company size, industry segment, geographical location, and organizational culture. As a result, the findings may not be universally applicable to all manufacturing companies, especially those in different sectors or regions.

A larger, more diverse sample would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of training programs across different types of manufacturing environments. Future research could address this limitation by expanding the sample size to include a broader range of manufacturing companies and employee demographics.

Another limitation of this research is the reliance on self-reported data from employees and managers. Self-reported data, such as survey responses or interviews, can be subject to biases, including social desirability bias or response bias, where respondents may overstate their positive experiences with training or downplay negative aspects. Additionally, employees might have difficulty accurately assessing the direct impact of training on their performance, leading to potential inaccuracies in the reported outcomes.

To mitigate this limitation, future research could incorporate objective performance data, such as productivity metrics, accident rates, or job quality indicators, to validate the findings and provide a more accurate picture of training effectiveness. Combining self-reported data with objective measures would enhance the robustness and credibility of the study's conclusions.

The time frame within which the study was conducted may also present a limitation. The impact of training programs on employee performance may not be immediately evident, especially in the manufacturing sector, where learning and skill application can take time. Short-term studies may not capture the full range of outcomes, particularly those that manifest over an extended period, such as improved employee retention or long-term safety improvements.

Future research could employ a longitudinal design to track the effects of training programs over a longer period, capturing both immediate and delayed outcomes. This would provide a clearer understanding of how the benefits of training evolve over time and whether the improvements in employee performance are sustainable in the long run.

In examining the impact of training on employee performance, it is difficult to control for all external factors that might influence performance outcomes. Factors such as organizational changes, shifts in management, new technologies, and industry trends could all have an impact on employee

performance and might confound the results. For instance, a significant change in company policies or the introduction of new equipment during the training period could affect the employees' ability to apply the training effectively.

To address this limitation, future research could incorporate control variables to account for external factors or use a more controlled experimental design, such as a randomized controlled trial (RCT). By controlling for these variables, the study would better isolate the effects of the training programs on employee performance.

This research primarily focuses on the impact of training and development programs on employee performance in general, without differentiating between the various types of training programs (e.g., technical, safety, soft skills, leadership development). Different types of training may have distinct impacts on performance, and the effectiveness of each type could vary depending on the job role or the manufacturing environment.

Future research could investigate the effectiveness of specific types of training in more detail, examining how technical training, safety training, and soft skills development each contribute to different aspects of employee performance. By distinguishing between these different types of training, companies could develop more targeted and effective training programs.

While the study provides insights into the manufacturing sector, it does not delve deeply into the industry-specific factors that might influence the outcomes of training programs. Manufacturing industries vary significantly, with some focusing on high-tech production processes, while others may involve more labor-intensive tasks. The type of products being manufactured and the level of technological innovation may also play a role in determining the specific needs for training programs.

To address this limitation, future research could explore how industry-specific factors, such as technological advancements, production complexity, and workforce composition, influence the design and effectiveness of training programs in the manufacturing sector. This would provide a more nuanced understanding of how training impacts performance across different manufacturing environments.

The study primarily focuses on measurable outcomes, such as productivity and safety improvements, to assess the effectiveness of training programs. While these metrics are important, they may not capture the full range of benefits associated with training, such as increased employee satisfaction, morale, or engagement. Additionally, performance improvements may be difficult to quantify for certain types of training, such as leadership development or teamwork training, which have more qualitative outcomes.

Conclusion and implication

This research highlights the significant impact of training and development programs on employee performance within the manufacturing sector, demonstrating that well-structured, tailored, and continuous training initiatives enhance productivity, job satisfaction, safety, and long-term retention. The findings underscore the importance of a supportive organizational culture, employee motivation, and customized training programs that align with specific job roles and departmental needs. The implications for manufacturing companies include the need to prioritize investment in training as a strategic tool for growth, ensuring that training is relevant, ongoing, and integrated into career development plans. Additionally, creating a culture that values continuous learning, coupled with regular evaluation and feedback mechanisms, can further enhance training effectiveness, ultimately improving both individual and organizational performance.

References

- Appelbaum, E. (2000). *Manufacturing advantage: Why high-performance work systems pay off*. Cornell University Press.
- Arthur Jr, W., Bennett Jr, W., Edens, P. S., & Bell, S. T. (2003). Effectiveness of training in organizations: a meta-analysis of design and evaluation features. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*(2), 234.

- Barling, J., Kelloway, E. K., & Iverson, R. D. (2003). High-quality work, job satisfaction, and occupational injuries. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*(2), 276.
- Benson, S. G., & Dundis, S. P. (2003). Understanding and motivating health care employees: integrating Maslow's hierarchy of needs, training and technology. *Journal of Nursing Management, 11*(5), 315–320.
- Boon, C. T., Low, D. F. L., Lim, S. Y., Ng, X. Q., & Wong, P. P. (2011). *The influence of core self-evaluation (CSE) on employees' motivation in continuing education*. UTAR.
- Creswell, J. W., Fetters, M. D., & Ivankova, N. V. (2004). Designing a mixed methods study in primary care. *The Annals of Family Medicine, 2*(1), 7–12.
- Curry, L. A., Nembhard, I. M., & Bradley, E. H. (2009). Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to outcomes research. *Circulation, 119*(10), 1442–1452.
- Elnaga, A., & Imran, A. (2013). The effect of training on employee performance. *European Journal of Business and Management, 5*(4), 137–147.
- Harris, L. R., & Brown, G. T. L. (2019). Mixing interview and questionnaire methods: Practical problems in aligning data. *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation, 15*(1), 1.
- Hatch, N. W., & Dyer, J. H. (2004). Human capital and learning as a source of sustainable competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal, 25*(12), 1155–1178.
- Ishaq Bhatti, M., Awan, H. M., & Razaq, Z. (2014). The key performance indicators (KPIs) and their impact on overall organizational performance. *Quality & Quantity, 48*, 3127–3143.
- Kimberlin, C. L., & Winterstein, A. G. (2008). Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 65*(23), 2276–2284.
- Kirkpatrick, D., & Kirkpatrick, J. (2006). *Evaluating training programs: The four levels*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Kuvaas, B. (2006). Performance appraisal satisfaction and employee outcomes: mediating and moderating roles of work motivation. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 17*(3), 504–522.
- Namian, M., Albert, A., Zuluaga, C. M., & Behm, M. (2016). Role of safety training: Impact on hazard recognition and safety risk perception. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 142*(12), 4016073.
- Neily, J., Mills, P. D., Young-Xu, Y., Carney, B. T., West, P., Berger, D. H., Mazzia, L. M., Paull, D. E., & Bagian, J. P. (2010). Association between implementation of a medical team training program and surgical mortality. *Jama, 304*(15), 1693–1700.
- O'Donoghue, P. (2013). *Statistics for sport and exercise studies: An introduction*. Routledge.
- Rocha, I. L. (2018). Manufacturing as driver of economic growth. *PSL Quarterly Review, 71*(285).
- Rubinstein, I. S., & Hartzog, W. (2016). Anonymization and risk. *Wash. L. Rev., 91*, 703.
- Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The science of training and development in organizations: What matters in practice. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13*(2), 74–101.
- Tan, E. (2014). Human capital theory: A holistic criticism. *Review of Educational Research, 84*(3), 411–445.
- Tharenou, P., Saks, A. M., & Moore, C. (2007). A review and critique of research on training and organizational-level outcomes. *Human Resource Management Review, 17*(3), 251–273.
- Xiong Chen, Z., & Aryee, S. (2007). Delegation and employee work outcomes: An examination of the cultural context of mediating processes in China. *Academy of Management Journal, 50*(1), 226–238.
- Zhong, R. Y., Xu, X., Klotz, E., & Newman, S. T. (2017). Intelligent manufacturing in the context of industry 4.0: a review. *Engineering, 3*(5), 616–630.